





An Updated Genome-scale Metabolic Model of Clostridium thermocellum that Simulates All Metabolic Fluxes under Diverse Conditions, Enabling New Insights in **Data Analysis and Biocatalytic Strain Design**

Sergio Garcia^{1,2}, Satyakam Dash^{1,3}, Costas Maranas^{1,3}, R. Adam Thompson,⁴ Richard Giannone,^{1,5} and Cong T. Trinh^{1,2,4}

¹Center for Bioenergy Innovation, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge; ²Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN; ³Department of Chemical Engineering, Pennsylvania State University, PA; ⁴Bredesen Center for Interdisciplinary Research and Graduate Education, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville and Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge; ⁵Chemical Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge;

ABSTRACT

Our exponentially growing world population requires a sustainable bioeconomy from renewable and carbon neutral production of energy and materials using lignocellulosic biomass and organic wastes. Consolidated bioprocessing (CBP) is a promising technology that utilizes a CBP microorganism capable of performing biomass hydrolysis and fermentation in a single step. Clostridium thermocellum is a gram positive thermophilic CBP bacterium capable of efficient degradation of untreated lignocellulosic biomass, such as poplar or switchgrass, to produce biofuels and biomaterial precursors. However, C. thermocellum has complex and poorly understood metabolism hindering metabolic engineering to achieve high rates, titers, and yields of industrially relevant chemicals, e.g., alcohols and esters. In this study, we developed an updated genome-scale model of C. thermocellum, named iCBI655, to account for recent discoveries in the metabolism of C. thermocellum, improve the predictability of the model by training it with a broad dataset of experimental fluxes and against known lethality phenotypes, and increase its accessibility and reproducibility through extensive documentation and standard-conforming model organization. Furthermore, we illustrated the use of the model to generate biological insights from multi-scale datasets by simulating intracellular fluxes consistent with measured metabolite secretion fluxes and integration of proteomics data. We anticipate the new model will be useful for metabolic engineering and studying physiology, metabolism, and regulation of *C. thermocellum*.

Modeling principles

What is a genome-scale metabolic model and why is it useful?

- A genome-scale metabolic model is a curated, genetically- and biochemically-consistent database of metabolism of an organism.
- The model is part of a design cycle which incorporates our current knowledge and helps us understand experimental data in a holistic and quantitative manner.



Model training and validation

Training model parameters with comprehensive experimental flux dataset

Experimental growth rate (1/h)

- The model parameters (GAM and with an NGAM) were trained of extensive dataset measured fermentation fluxes from literature. We identified a good fit for three conditions, cellobiose chemostat, cellulose chemostat, and batch reactor. This model training helps accurately and other predict arowth rate diverse
- phenotypes under conditions.

Comparison of growth-prediction accuracy with respect to previous *C. thermocellum* model

Experiment <u>-</u>1 6-

Application example: New insights form extracellular metabolite and proteomics datasets

Dataset and analysis approach

- The wildtype strain is compared to the hydG-ech deletion strain, a mutant for increased ethanol production.
- This mutant was cultured in batch reactors (Thompson et al. 2015) and extracellular metabolite profiles were measured together with proteomics.
- The model serves as a scaffold to integrate these two disparate data types, together with existing knowledge of C. thermocellum.

• The proteomics data maps to 510 (60%) of the reactions in the model.

Focus on reactions where proteomics fold change is consistent with simulated flux fold change

- Fold change (FC) is computed between the wildtype and mutant form proteomics data in the conventional way.
- The model is constrained to obey measured fluxes and the remaining fluxes are simulated. This is done for the wildtype and mutant separately. Then FC in flux for each reaction is calculated. • 76 reactions have consistent FC (i.e., both FC agree in sign and are different than 0) in both proteomics and flux simulations. • Discrepancies in FC magnitude can help discover enzyme catalytic efficiency or regulation by metabolite concentration.

How can the model be used to calculate fluxes?

• Principles of mass balance, thermodynamics, and mathematical optimization enable the use of the database to calculate metabolic fluxes. Only reaction stoichiometry information is required.

$$ln - out = acc.$$
 Steady state assumption

$$\sum_{j \in J} S_{ij} v_j = \frac{dC_i}{dt} = 0 \text{ for all } i \in Metabolites \text{ Mass balance}$$
$$l_j \leq v_j \leq u_j \text{ for all } j \in Reactions \text{ Reaction rate bounds}$$

Software tools

The updated model can predict growth rate more accurately under diverse conditions than the previous model.

Prediction accuracy of gene lethality in important biotechnological mutants

Gene deletions	Medium	Fraction <i>iAT601</i>	of W.T. gr <i>iCBI655</i>	owth rate (%) In vivo
hydg	MTC	100	100	73
hydg- ech	MTC	85	85	67
hydg- pta - ack	MTC	100	100	48
hydG- ech - pfl	MTC	58	0	0
hydG- ech - pfl	MTC + fumarate	377	726	0
hydG- ech - pfl	MTC + sulfate	58	65	+
hydG-ech-pfl	MTC + ketoisovalerate	97	101	+

The updated model can reconcile lethality phenotypes in key redox mutants used for ethanol production.

Comparison to previous models

	iSR432	iCth446	iAT601	iCBI665	iML1515
Strain	ATCC27405	ATCC27405	DSM1313	DSM1313	MG1655
Genes	432	446	601	665	1515
Metabolites	583	599	903	795	1877
Reactions	632	660	872	854	2712
Blocked reactions	39.2%	32.1%	40.8%	35.1%	9.8%
Reference	[roberts 2010]	$[\mathrm{dash}2017]$	[thompson 2016]	This study	[monk2017]

 $R^2 = 0.57$ n = 76-2 0 Proteomics mean FC

Fold change contextualization in interactive map

Top downregulated enzymes Fold chang UMPK CYSS UAG4Ei IGPDH ACGK NADS2 QULNS ACKr

			8-		
		proteomics	<i>pFBA</i>	FVA center	
	$atp_c + h2o_c + so4_e \rightarrow adp_c + h_c + pi_c + so4_c$	-4.6	-0.3	0.8	
	$atp_c + h_c + ump_c \rightarrow adp_c + udp_c$	-2.1	-0.3	0.0	
	$acser_c + h2s_c \rightarrow ac_c + cys_L_c$	-1.8	-0.3	-0.5	
	$uacgam_c \rightarrow udpacgal_c$	-1.5	-0.3	-0.1	
	$eig3p_c \rightarrow h2o_c + imacp_c$	-1.2	-0.3	-0.1	
	$acglu_c + atp_c \rightarrow acg5p_c + adp_c$	-1.2	-0.3	-0.3	
	$atp_c + nh4_c + utp_c \rightarrow adp_c + ctp_c + 2.0 h_c + pi_c$	-1.2	-0.3	0.0	
	$atp_c + fe3_e + h2o_c \rightarrow adp_c + fe3_c + h_c + pi_c$	-1.0	-0.3	-0.1	
	$atp_c + dnad_c + gln_L_c + h2o_c \rightarrow amp_c + glu_L_c + 2.0 h_c + nad_c + ppi_c$	-0.9	-0.3	-0.1	
	dhap_c + iasp_c \rightarrow 2.0 h2o_c + h_c + pi_c + quln_c	-0.9	-0.3	-0.1	
	$actp_c + adp_c \rightarrow ac_c + atp_c$	-0.9	-2.7	-0.1	

Top upregulated enzymes

	Formula	Fold change		ge
		proteomics	pFBA	FVA center
ľ	$r5p_c \leftrightarrow ru5p_D_c$	3.2	8.7	11.2
ίM	$2pg_c \leftrightarrow 3pg_c$	2.4	15.2	14.5
łR	dhor $\underline{S}_c + h2o_c \leftrightarrow cbasp_c + h_c$	2.4	7.9	7.1
IMT2r	$gly_c + h2o_c + mlthf_c \leftrightarrow ser_L_c + thf_c$	1.5	7.8	11.9
OH	$mal_L_c + nad_c \leftrightarrow h_c + nadh_c + oaa_c$	1.4	15.1	14.5
PCK_re	$co2_c + gdp_c + pep_c \rightarrow gtp_c + oaa_c$	0.9	0.1	0.1
Ί	$g3p_c \leftrightarrow dhap_c$	0.9	14.2	14.0
DR2b	$3mob_c + coa_c + 2.0 \text{ fdxo}_{42_c} \rightarrow co2_c + 2.0 \text{ fdxr}_{42_c} + h_c + ibcoa_c$	0.9	12.3	0.8
L	$coa_c + pyr_c \rightarrow accoa_c + for_c$	0.5	0.2	0.8
CTPD	$dctp_c + h2o_c + h_c \leftrightarrow dutp_c + nh4_c$	0.5	2.3	1.5
AT	$akg_c + pser_L_c \leftrightarrow 3php_c + glu_L_c$	0.5	8.7	15.0

- Multiple tools automate the construction of the model. However a lot of manual inspection has to be performed.
- The previous *C. thermocellum* model, iAT601 (Thompson et al. 2016) was used as a starting point to build the updated model, iCBI655.
- The model construction process is documented in detail using version control software and available at https://github.com/trinhlab.
- The increased number of genes and reduced number of blocked reactions indicates better coverage of metabolic function.

Standard conformance score with *Memote*

- An interactive metabolic map was built from the model using the software *Escher*. This map allows to visualize any from of data that can be mapped to reactions or metabolites. In this case fold change values measured in proteomics data are included.
- Observations regarding the mutant include:
 - PEPCK and the malate shunt are used instead of PPDK to generate pyruvate. This pathway consumes nadh (MDH) and produces napdh (ME2).
 - FRNDPR2r (ferredoxin nadph reductase, NFN) increases, which converts 2 moles of the accumulated reduced ferredoxin into oxidized ferredoxin using 1 mole of nadh and producing 2 moles of nadph.
- Interpretation: The redox imbalance caused by an accumulation of reduced ferredoxin leads to its redox potential being transferred to nadph, likely activating new pathways capable of recycling nadph. This is a previously unobserved mechanism to cope with the redox imbalance caused by the deletion of hydrogenases.

CONCLUSIONS

- Developed the genome-scale model, iCBI655, that reflects the most current genetic and metabolic knowledge of the consolidated bioprocessing organism Clostridium thermocellum DSM1313.
- Collected a comprehensive dataset of extracellular fluxes to train model parameters, leading to increase prediction accuracy across culture conditions with respect to previous models.
- The iCBI655 model correctly predicts lethality of key gene deletion mutants relevant for model-guided design of biocatalytic strains.
- The iCBI655 model emphasizes accessibility and reproducibility through interactive metabolic maps and comprehensive documentation.
- Illustrated the use of the iCBI655 model to gain insights of intracellular fluxes were measured for wild type and mutant strains. Proteomics data was integrated to gain additional confidence in the simulation.

The Center for Bioenergy Innovation (CBI) is a U.S. Department of Energy Bioenergy Research Center supported by the Office of Biological and Environmental Research in the DOE Office of Science.